

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/918,989	07/31/2001	Gary Gustine	100.204US01	3774	
27073	7590 03/11/2003				
LEFFERT J	AY & POLGLAZE, P.	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 58			TRAN, TI	HANH Y	
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55458-1009		iidii, illiiii i		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2841		
	j		DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003	<b>.</b>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	pplicant(s)
_	_	09/918,989	GUSTINE ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	-	Thanh Y. Tran	2841
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a		
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	PREPLY  ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP  MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- sply received by the Office later than three months after the mail d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, n ply within the statutory minimum d will apply and will expire SIX (6 ate, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)□		——· 「his action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow		I matters, presequition as to the morits is
·	closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims		
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-135 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.	
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideratior	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-135</u> are subject to restriction and/ on Papers	or election requirement	•
9)[] T	he specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.	
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office action.	
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🔲 🛚	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S	s.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	☐All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
•	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>	nts have been received	
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li></ol>	nts have been received	in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	a)).
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list		
	Cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
15)□ A	The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •	
ttachment(	•		
) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Tra O-326 (Rev		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 3

\*

Application/Control Number: 09/918,989

Art Unit: 2841

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Embodiment 1: Claims 1-6 and 7-12 (A case with an actuator engageable with circuit card in the slot).

Embodiment 2: Claims 13-22, 23-29 and 30-36 (A case with at least one cam disposed within the slot and rotatably attached to the case).

Embodiment 3: Claims 37-47, 48-53, 54-63 and 64-65 (A case with a shaft rotatably attached to the case).

Embodiment 4: Method claims 66-69 and 70-72 (A method of exerting a force on the circuit card using the wedge).

Embodiment 5: Method claims 73-80 (A method of exerting a force on the circuit card using both the wedge and the partition).

Embodiment 6: Method claims 81-85 (A method of exerting a force on the circuit card using the partition).

Embodiment 7: Method claims 86-94 and 95-97 (A method of exerting a force on the circuit card using the at least one cam).

Embodiment 8: Method claims 98-105 (A method of exerting a force on the circuit card using both the at least one cam and the partition).

Embodiment 9: Method claims 106-115 and 116-123 (A method of exerting a force on the circuit card using the at least one resilient element).

Application/Control Number: 09/918,989

Art Unit: 2841

Embodiment 10: Method claims 124-135 (A method of exerting a force on the circuit card using both the at least one resilient element and the partition).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/918,989

Art Unit: 2841

## **CONTACT INFORMATION**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Y. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-4757. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin, can be reached on (703) 308-3121. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3431.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

**TYT** 

DAVID MARTIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800